US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



006124

Chemical:

AMS

PC Code:

HED File Code

Accession Number:

Memo Date:

File ID:

005501

13000 Tox Reviews

07/09/1982

00000000

412-01-0166

HED Records Reference Center 05/23/2001

STATES STATES TO A DO THE PROTECTION OF THE PROT

OPP OFFICIAL RECORD HEALTH EFFECTS DIVISION SCIENTIFIC DATA REVIEWS

CASWELL: FILE

. UNITED STATES EPASERIES OF AL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

7-1-82

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTAN

(COSSO):

TO:

Robert Taylor, Product Manager #25 Registration Division (TS-767)

registra

William L. Burnam, Deputy Branch Chief

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

THRU:

William Butler, Section Head Section III, Toxicology Branch (MS 758)

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

SUBJECT:

Ammonium Sulfamate Registration Standard.

EPA #239-2336, CFR 180.188. Review of Data

Requirements and Waiver Request.

TOX Chem No. 47

Action Requested:

1. Discussion on whether or not to waive requirement.

 If decision is not to waive the data requirement, a rationale as to why data are needed should be included.

3. If decision is not to waive the data requirements, alternatives which would allow acceptance of waivers should be included.

For Example:

a. Label restrictions

b. Deletion of certain label uses (food uses, home sites, etc.)

Recommendation:

1. The request for a waiver of data (letter from R. F. Holt of DuPont to R. Taylor, Registration Division) can not be supported since the requirements for re-registration have not been met.

- 2. The data available includes the following:
 - a. Data submitted in behalf of registration of the product.
 - b. Data acquired by open literature search.
 - c. Other publications.

Such data have been previously reviewed and found to be lacking. Upon reviewing the studies suggested in Mr. Holt's letter, I concluded that the previous reviewer's appraisal was correct and I corroborate her findings:

Refer to the following studies:

Acute Toxicity:

a. "Studies on the Physiological Effects of Sulfamic Acid and Ammonium Sulfamate", Ambrose, 1973.

The report is one step ahead of a summary (publication) and does not meet the requirements as per proposed guidelines.

Only male rats were used. The highest dose level of 1.6 g/kg did not kill any of the 8 rats used. The description of the material used, "in most of the studies fau four percent solution of sulfamic acid or ammonium sulfamate, is not acceptable.

b. Study: Toxicity Studies, Lehaman, 1951. It is a summary of the acute toxicity of various pesticides.

Study is not acceptable

- c. Re-review of the other studies: Subchronic and chronic
 - Subchronic Oral Toxicity, Gupta (1979), supplementary;
 - Acute Dermal Toxicity, Aoyama M. (1975), supplementary;
 - 3. 3-Generation Reproduction, Sherman (1964), a summary;
 - 4. Mutagenicity, Anderson, 1972, supplementary.

Re-reviewing the mentioned studies will not change the conclusion that the studies can not be used on behalf of registration of the product or for a generic standard.

3. Without submission of the required data we can not talk, at this time, of label restriction or deletion of certain label uses.

Alex Arce, Toxicologist
Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division : (TS-769)

July . 9-82

OPP:HED:TOX: A.ARCE:sb 6/24/82 X73710 Rm. 816 #m14